Mr. Speaker, ironically, the wars in Vietnam and on the streets of urban ghettos developed his sense of compassion and concern for those who face inequities and discrimination. He could have easily had a bitter soul forged out of the fires from the 1960 riots and battles he knew so well, but he chose to care and use his mind and ability for justice. Therefore, he is a great example to young men and women that the anger and distress known to many of our cities can be directed to solving our country's most pressing social problems.

Mr. Speaker, although many Members of Congress may have disagreed with his stands on military spending and his legal challenges to a President's authority to declare war on countries, no one can dispute that his arguments and debating style were pragmatic, intelligent, and were carried out with respect and dignity toward others, regardless of ideology. Therefore, he was respected in this House by those of all ideologies and political persuasions.

Mr. Speaker, our colleague from California is leaving this body after a long and productive career. While I can say that his return to private life is much deserved, I must say that he will be sorely missed because of his courage, leadership, and compassion. I will miss him as a friend.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

HON. CHARLES W. "CHIP" PICKERING

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 5, 1998

Mr. PICKERING. Mr. Speaker, I was unable to return to the House floor last evening due to a scheduling conflict and missed the following vote:

Rollcall vote No. 7, passage of H. J. Res. 107. Had I been present, I would have voted "aye."

NATIONAL HISTORIC LIGHTHOUSE PRESERVATION ACT

HON. MARK E. SOUDER

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 5, 1998

Mr. SOUDER. Mr. Speaker, I have introduced H.R. 2970, the National Historic Lighthouse Preservation Act, which would amend the National Historic Preservation Act, to establish a national historic light station preservation program. This legislation was introduced in the other body by the Chairman of the Energy and Natural Resources Committee, Senator Frank Murkowski of Alaska.

As you may know, Mr. Speaker, lighthouses have served as life-saving navigational aids since before the turn of the century. However, many of these lighthouses have outlived their use to the Coast Guard as navigational aids. Thus, the Coast Guard is left with surplus lighthouses, and declares them "excessed." The question then becomes, who cares for these lighthouses once they leave the Coast Guard's hands? If the land on which a particular lighthouse in question was first granted by a Presidential Order to the U.S. Lighthouse Establishment, it is considered to be "public

domain," and has to be first offered through the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to the Interior Department. If the Interior Department does not claim the land, then the lighthouse is placed in the General Service Administration's (GSA) excessing process. If the property is not considered public domain, then the lighthouse is placed directly into the GSA excessing process.

Through the GSA process, priority is first granted to federal agencies. This means that the lighthouse could be used for such things as an office for the Internal Revenue Service. If no federal agency claims it, the property is then surveyed to see if it suitable to qualify under the McKinney Homeless Assistance Act, thereby allowing it to be transferred to those organizations that assist the homeless. Should neither of these categories claim the lighthouse, it is then offered to the state in which it is located, possibly to be used for recreation purposes. If the state not claim it, then it is offered to the local government where the property is located. Finally, if the lighthouse is still available at the end of the GSA process, it is put up for public sale.

The real tragedy here, Mr. Speaker, is that many of these lighthouses have been protected and preserved over the years by nonprofit historical lighthouse societies, which have donated a great deal of time, money, and resources to lighthouse preservation efforts. As you can see, in order to have the lighthouses conveyed to them, they must wait through the long process described above, and then must bid on them. This process basically requires these non-profit organizations to compete financially with private groups that have greater access to funds, and that have, in many cases, not made the same commitment to the lighthouse in the past. In addition, these private groups may have plans for the lighthouse that are inconsistent with the best interests of the community. Though these nonprofit groups can, in some specific cases, purchase the light house directly from the BLM, they sometimes have to pay as much as half of its market value—a value that those particular groups helped to increase over the years through their hard work. Thus, the message we are sending here is that if you're going to provide a public service by preserving historical sites, you're going to have to pay for them in the end.

I should point out that another method for conveyance is for Congress to enact separate pieces of legislation to transfer a lighthouse to a specific group. As you know, this process can be very time consuming and cumbersome considering that there are hundreds of lighthouses that will be excessed in the near future.

My legislation would introduce a degree of fairness to the conveyance process for historic lighthouses by amending the National Historic Preservation Act to transfer this process to the National Parks Service, which would be able to work in conjunction with the State Historic Preservation Officer, to establish a national historical light station program. This new program would have priority to those government agencies that have entered into a partnership agreement with a non-profit organization whose primary mission is historical preservation of lighthouses, and would convey them at no cost. If no such applications are offered, or approved of, then the lighthouse would be put up for public sale. Thus, this legislation would help to ensure that in those cases where a non-profit group has been active in a particular lighthouses' preservation, and wishes to continue in it's work, that that group would be given a fair shot at claiming that lighthouse when the Coast Guard declares it excessed.

Mr. Speaker, we need to recognize the very important role lighthouses have played in this country's history. By encouraging government agencies to join with non-profit groups to help preserve lighthouses for the future, we will be providing a much fairer process to those who wish to continue their work in preserving these nationally historic structures.

TRIBUTE TO THE HONORABLE RONALD V. DELLUMS

SPEECH OF

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Tuesday, February 3, 1998

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, we are here to celebrate the career of a champion of Democratic principles in the House of Representatives. I am honored to have served with my friend, RON DELLUMS.

For over a quarter of a century, I have had the distinct privilege of joining RON in the good fight. He vehemently opposed our government's involvement in Vietnam. He asked the tough questions and pursued the truth in the crime of Watergate. He demanded quality for women and minorities and defended civil rights. He did not waver in the charge to stop the testing of nuclear weapons. He fought for the poor, the disabled, and the disadvantaged, in the hope that all Americans could partake in our country's bounty.

His focus, above all, was to promote peace. His work on the National Security Committee earned him the respect of all his colleagues for his grasp of issues, his focus and his powerful oratory skills. He worked for decades to expose unnecessary military spending and cut defense spending. He came here to make things better for all Americans and he succeeded.

Mr. Speaker, I stood with Ron Dellums for close to three decades; I am saddened to see him go but I know he will make a difference for the better wherever he goes.

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING FAIRNESS ACT OF 1998

HON. RICHARD H. BAKER

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, February 5, 1998

Mr. BAKER. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a bill that will serve as a legislative remedy to a flaw in the private sector process for developing financial accounting standards. Specifically, the Financial Accounting Fairness Act (FAFA) will provide for judicial review of accounting principles that the Financial Accounting Standards Board has developed and the Securities and Exchange Commission has approved. In short, public companies will not be able to do what they currently cannot: have their complaints with the substance of a proposed accounting principle aired in the neutral